

# Physiological and proteomic characterization of light adaptations in marine *Synechococcus*

Katherine R. M. Mackey,<sup>1\*</sup> Anton F. Post,<sup>2</sup>  
Matthew R. McIlvin<sup>3</sup> and Mak A. Saito<sup>3\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Earth System Science, University of California Irvine,  
Irvine, CA 92697, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Oceanography, University of  
Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI 02882, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry, Woods Hole  
Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02536,  
USA.

## Summary

Marine *Synechococcus* thrive over a range of light regimes in the ocean. We examined the proteomic, genomic and physiological responses of seven *Synechococcus* isolates to moderate irradiances (5–80  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ), and show that *Synechococcus* spans a continuum of light responses ranging from low light optimized (LLO) to high light optimized (HLO). These light responses are linked to phylogeny and pigmentation. Marine sub-cluster 5.1A isolates with higher phycouribilin: phycoerythrobilin ratios fell toward the LLO end of the continuum, while sub-cluster 5.1B, 5.2 and estuarine *Synechococcus* with less phycouribilin fell toward the HLO end of the continuum. Global proteomes were highly responsive to light, with > 50% of abundant proteins varying more than twofold between the lowest and highest irradiance. All strains downregulated phycobilisome proteins with increasing irradiance. Regulation of proteins involved in photosynthetic electron transport, carbon fixation, oxidative stress protection (superoxide dismutases) and iron and nitrogen metabolism varied among strains, as did the number of high light inducible protein (Hlpp) and DNA photolyase genes in their genomes. All but one LLO strain possessed the photoprotective orange carotenoid protein (OCP). The unique combinations of light responses in each strain gives rise to distinct photophysiological

phenotypes that may affect *Synechococcus* distributions in the ocean.


## Introduction

Marine picocyanobacteria are the most abundant oxygenic photosynthetic organisms on Earth. The members of two genera, *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus*, contribute ~25% of net marine primary productivity globally (Flombaum *et al.*, 2013) and up to ~80% in the open ocean gyres (Liu *et al.*, 1999). While light adaptations in *Prochlorococcus* show clear patterns that reflect depth in the water column and taxonomic identity (Partensky *et al.*, 1999; Rocap *et al.*, 2003; Scanlan *et al.*, 2009), light adaptations in *Synechococcus* are more varied and involve a large protein-based light harvesting antenna in addition to chlorophyll (Ahlgren and Rocap, 2006). Global proteomics provides a new lens to understand how key groups of proteins together give rise to distinct light phenotypes in *Synechococcus*.

In the ocean, *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* trade off in dominance. The distribution of *Synechococcus* ranges from coastal to open ocean habitats that extend deep into temperate climate zones (Zwirgmaier *et al.*, 2007; 2008; Flombaum *et al.*, 2013; Hunter-Cevera *et al.*, 2016), whilst *Prochlorococcus* is more abundant in the stratified oligotrophic open ocean within tropical and subtropical latitudes. Where the two genera coexist within stratified waters, they occupy different depth ranges; *Synechococcus* abundances peak in the surface mixed layer and decline at greater depth (Partensky *et al.*, 1999; DuRand *et al.*, 2001; Rocap *et al.*, 2003; Mackey *et al.*, 2009; 2011; Scanlan *et al.*, 2009), while *Prochlorococcus* abundances are highest between 20 m and the base of the euphotic zone (~200 m) (Fig. 1A). Typically *Synechococcus* is less abundant below ~100 m, but is occasionally found at depths down to 200–600 m during deep mixing events (Lindell and Post, 1995; DuRand *et al.*, 2001), where members of clade I and II can dominate (Post *et al.*, 2011).

Given the extensive range of light environments they occupy, it is not surprising that considerable photosynthetic diversity exists between the two genera and even among different ecotypes within the same genus. *Synechococcus*

Received 20 October, 2016; revised 23 March, 2017; accepted 24 March, 2017. For correspondence. \*E-mail kmackey@uci.edu; Tel. 949.824.1133; Fax: (949) 824-3874. \*\*E-mail msaito@whoi.edu; Tel. 508.289.2393; Fax: (508) 457-2075.



**Fig. 1.** A. Schematic showing typical depth distributions of *Synechococcus* and high light-adapted (HL) and low light-adapted (LL) *Prochlorococcus* in a stratified water column where light intensity declines and nitrogen availability increases with depth. B. Map showing locations of strain isolation in the North Atlantic Ocean. Exact latitude and longitude coordinates are given in Table 1. Strains labelled in pink contain phycoerythrin. Note that PCC7002 is not oceanic having been isolated from a coastal fish pen. [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

spp. possess multi-protein phycobilisome antennae that vary in their light harvesting capabilities and spectral properties. Because phycobilisomes constitute up to half of cell protein in cyanobacteria (Bennett and Bogorad, 1973; Cohen-Bazire and Bryant, 1982; Kana and Glibert, 1987), they come at a high nitrogen (N) cost for the cells (Kana *et al.*, 1992). Cells that contain phycocyanin only are green in colour and absorb a broad range of orange-red

wavelengths. Cells that carry one or more of the phycoerythrin varieties in addition to phycocyanin typically appear as pink or orange-brown in colour and preferentially absorb green and blue light (Campbell *et al.*, 1998; Six *et al.*, 2007b; Scanlan *et al.*, 2009). This variation in pigmentation expands the niche for *Synechococcus* by allowing it to access wavelengths of light that other phototrophs cannot, such as under high chlorophyll bloom conditions when a greater proportion of green light is available relative to blue (Bidigare *et al.*, 1990).

Various combinations of photoprotective mechanisms exist in different ecotypes of *Synechococcus*. These include (i) reduction in light harvesting antenna size, (ii) energy partitioning between photosystem I (PSI) and photosystem II (PSII) via state transitions (Biggins and Bruce, 1989; Mullineaux *et al.*, 1997; McConnell *et al.*, 2002), (iii) non-photochemical quenching of absorbed energy as heat via the orange carotenoid protein (OCP) (Kirilovsky and Kerfeld, 2012), (iv) accessory antenna formation around PSI by the iron stress induced protein (IsiA) (Bibby *et al.*, 2001; Boekema *et al.*, 2001) and (v) regulation of chlorophyll synthesis, photosystem assembly and energy dissipation by high light inducible proteins (Hlips) (Funk and Vermaas, 1999; Montané and Kloppstech, 2000; Xu *et al.*, 2002; Komenda and Sobotka, 2016). Other non-photosynthetic tactics for handling the stress of high light include photolyase activation to mitigate DNA damage (Ng *et al.*, 2000), and production of various proteins to minimize damage from oxidative stress (Gupta *et al.*, 1993; Hagman *et al.*, 1997). Alternative oxidase pathways and other photorespiratory electron sinks also protect cells from photoinhibition during over-reduced conditions (Mehler, 1951; Munekage *et al.*, 2004; Bailey *et al.*, 2008; Mackey *et al.*, 2008; Grossman *et al.*, 2010; Muramatsu and Hihara, 2012).

Observations from laboratory experiments (Moore *et al.*, 2002; Penno *et al.*, 2006; Mackey *et al.*, 2013; 2015) and field campaigns (Glover *et al.*, 1988; Saito *et al.*, 2005; Ahlgren and Rocap, 2006; Zwirgmaier *et al.*, 2007; 2008; Mazard *et al.*, 2012) have together demonstrated that both nutrients and temperature are important drivers in the diversification and biogeography of *Synechococcus* lineages (Sohm *et al.*, 2016). Overlaid on the patterns of nutrients and temperature in the ocean is the ambient light regime. Spectral quality is a driver of *Synechococcus* pigment diversity (Ahlgren and Rocap, 2006), but less is known about light intensity. Light, nutrients and temperature co-vary to different extents in different parts of the ocean, and therefore teasing out the effect of light on *Synechococcus* ecophysiology is complex. Additionally, despite their abundance in surface ocean waters, anecdotal evidence suggests that many cultured *Synechococcus* isolates grow more consistently and are less prone to 'crashing' whilst grown under relatively low irradiance of 10–20  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  compared to higher irradiances (J.

Waterbury, pers. comm.), and certain strains appear to be more robust under higher irradiances. To shed light on photoacclimation responses and light adaptations in *Synechococcus*, we examined the photosynthetic and growth responses of seven strains from different clades to irradiances ranging from 5 to 80  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . The strains were isolated from different latitudes in the Atlantic Ocean in both open water and coastal environments (Fig. 1B) and encompass different pigment-types. Gene and protein content were compared among the strains, with the goal of improving our understanding of how light intensity shapes the ecophysiology of *Synechococcus* in nature.

## Results and discussion

### *Proteome responses to irradiance*

To understand the protein-based mechanisms that give rise to growth and photophysiology traits in *Synechococcus*, we examined the proteomes of the seven strains, with particular focus on five protein categories: light harvesting, photosynthetic electron transport, carbon fixation and nitrogen and iron metabolism. Global proteomes (defined here as the untargeted measurement of many proteins simultaneously within an organism) were measured for each *Synechococcus* strain and light intensity, generating 33 global proteomic datasets (Tables S2–S8). For all seven strains light intensity caused a strong reshaping of the global proteomes, with > 50% of the proteins in each proteome changing more than twofold between the lowest and highest irradiance levels (Table 1; Fig. 2; Fig. S1).

Under higher light, phycobilisome proteins involved in light harvesting became less abundant in all strains (Fig. 3), consistent with prior observations that phycoerythrin concentrations in strain WH7803 are 20-fold lower in cells grown at 700  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  compared to 30  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Kana and Glibert, 1987). Similarly, photosynthetic electron transport proteins and carbon fixation proteins became less abundant in five and four of the strains respectively (Table 1). This response is consistent with cells requiring less photosynthetic machinery under higher light when photosynthesis saturates more quickly.

Proteins involved in iron and nitrogen metabolism were more abundant in higher light for four and five of the seven strains respectively (Table 1, Figs. 4–6). Both are consistent with a greater need for protein repair and greater metabolic throughput at higher growth rates. The canonical example is the PSII D1 protein (PsbA) that binds the P680 reaction centre and other cofactors involved in photosynthetic charge separation, and which is highly susceptible to photodamage (Marshall *et al.*, 2000). Degradation and synthesis of D1 are both photoregulated, and D1 turnover rates are among the highest protein turnover rates in nature (Mattoo *et al.*, 1984). The need for repair and synthesis of D1, and other proteins, would increase as

photosynthetically-generated reactive oxygen species accumulate, hence the ability to internally recycle nitrogen quickly would be advantageous under higher light (Six *et al.*, 2007a). Iron proteins like ferredoxins are electron carriers, and their increased abundance (without a concomitant increase in C fixation proteins) may imply a greater capacity for shuttling photosynthetically generated electrons to cellular processes other than carbon fixation (e.g. nitrate reduction). Ferredoxins also facilitate cyclic electron flow around PSI, by which cells generate additional ATP that can be used for protein repair (Munekage *et al.*, 2004). Hence, a higher demand for cellular maintenance processes under higher light is consistent with the increased levels of iron and nitrogen metabolism proteins observed here.

### *Photoacclimation and photoprotection traits*

Photosynthetic organisms acclimate to light in many ways. There is a distinction between long-term steady-state photoacclimation, which involves tailoring photosynthesis and metabolism to a particular growth irradiance over hours to days, and short-term acclimation that involves activation of temporary processes to cope with fluctuating light (as during rapid mixing or changing cloud cover) (MacIntyre *et al.*, 2000). While proteins can be involved in these short-term processes, they are more likely to involve activation or relocation of the protein within the cell rather than *de novo* synthesis, which takes place over longer time scales. All cells in this study were cultured under consistent, continuous light and the acclimation responses discussed here reflect those of steady-state conditions. Below we discuss additional photoacclimation phenotypes and photoprotective responses, while noting that photoprotective responses under fluctuating light regimes could differ from these steady state responses.

Long-term (e.g. multi-generation) acclimation characteristics reflect the balance of an organism's investment in certain protein categories (e.g. light harvesting, carbon fixation, N metabolism, etc.). In addition to the categories analysed in Table 1 and Figs 4–6, Hlips play a direct role in photosystem assembly and repair (Funk and Vermaas, 1999; Komenda and Sobotka, 2016), dissipating excitation energy within the antenna complexes (Montané and Kloppstech, 2000), or regulating chlorophyll synthesis (Xu *et al.*, 2002). Due to their small size and few resulting tryptic peptides available for detection, Hlip spectra were not abundant in the proteomes presented here, although they are present in the genomes (Tables S1–S8). To compare the genetic potential of each strain for Hlip production, we searched for *hli* sequences in each strain's genome (Table 1). This analysis shows that *hli* content varied from 4 to 15 copies per genome across strains and did not show a consistent relationship with strain photophysiology


**Table 1.** *Synechococcus* strain taxonomy, pigment type and collection information, and photoacclimation gene and protein abundances.

Strain	Classification based on growth rate	Taxonomy	Pigment Type	Isolation details	# <i>hli</i> genes in genome	# photolyase genes in genome	# orange carotenoid protein genes in genome	Proteome upregulated in low light*	Proteome upregulated in high light*	Light harvesting	Photo-synthetic electron transport	Carbon fixation	Fe metabolism	N metabolism
WH8109	LLO	Marine sub-cluster 5.1A, clade IIa	3d	39.47°N, 70.45°W; north-western Atlantic Ocean slope water; June 1981	8	5	0	208 (36%)	133 (23%)	Low (88%)	Low (95%)	Low (71%)	Low (75%)	Low (87%)
WH8102	LLO	Marine sub-cluster 5.1A, clade IIa	3c	22.495°N, 65.6°W; surface; Sargasso Sea, North Atlantic, from Oceanus cruise 92; March 15, 1981	9	4	1	129 (55%)	61 (26%)	Low (93%)	Low (55%)	Low (75%)	Low (83%)	High (73%)
WH8020	LLO	Marine sub-cluster 5.1A, clade Ia	3d	38.68°N, 69.3°W; 50 m depth; northwestern Atlantic Ocean slope water; June 26, 1980	12	4	1	124 (23%)	197 (36%)	Low (100%)	Low (71%)	Low (60%)	High (83%)	High (55%)
WH7805	intermediate	Marine sub-cluster 5.1B, clade VIc	2	33.7413°N, 67.5°W; Sargasso Sea, North Atlantic Ocean; June 30, 1978	9	4	1	268 (36%)	174 (23%)	Low (75%)	Low (89%)	High (80%)	High (56%)	High (57%)
PCC7002	HLO	Estuarine	1	17.97°N, 67.05°W; onshore, marine fish pen mud sample; Magueyes Island, La Parguera, Puerto Rico; Caribbean Sea, North Atlantic Ocean; 1961	4	3	3	152 (25%)	287 (46%)	Low (58%)	Low (82%)	Low (88%)	High (63%)	Low (73%)
WH5701	HLO	Marine sub-cluster 5.2	1	41.19°N 73.06°W; in sand with clams; Long Island Sound, North Atlantic Ocean; 1958	15	5	1	148 (19%)	385 (48%)	Low (100%)	High (82%)	High (71%)	Low (54%)	High (69%)
WH7803	HLO	Marine sub-cluster 5.1B, clade V	3a	33.7423°N 67.4913°W; 25 m depth; Sargasso Sea, North Atlantic Ocean; 1978	9	4	1	109 (17%)	213 (34%)	Low (100%)	High (81%)	High (86%)	High (55%)	High (55%)

Taxonomic classifications are based on (Ahlgren and Rocap, 2006; Scanlan *et al.*, 2009) and pigment types are based on (Six *et al.*, 2007b; Marine *Synechococcus*, 2017). Proteins in the categories light harvesting, photosynthetic electron transport, carbon fixation, iron metabolism and nitrogen metabolism were enumerated based on whether they were more abundant under the high or low light treatments. A rating of 'Low' (blue) indicates that proteins in that category increased in abundance under low light, whereas a rating of 'High' (red) indicates those proteins became more abundant under high light. Percentages in parentheses show the fraction of proteins in that category that followed the indicated trend. For example, in strain WH8109, 88% of the light harvesting proteins were more abundant under low light. HLO = high light optimized, LLO = low light optimized.

\*Indicates the number and percent of detected proteins in the global proteomes for which the ratio of spectral counts in low light to high light was either greater than 2 (indicating proteins that are more abundant in low light) or less than 0.5 (indicating proteins that are more abundant in high light). Ratios between 0.5 and 2 indicate smaller changes in protein abundance between low and high light, and include proteins that are less sensitive to irradiance (see Fig. S1).





**Fig. 2.** The fraction of each strain's proteome upregulated under low (blue bars) and high light (yellow bars). [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

or growth responses to irradiance. The highest *hli* gene content was in strain WH5701, with 15 *hli* genes, followed by strain WH8020 with 12 *hli* genes. All other strains had  $\leq 9$  *hli* genes (Table 1).

A similar genome analysis was conducted to enumerate photolyase genes. Photolyases are DNA repair enzymes that fix damage caused by ultraviolet light exposure (Ng *et al.*, 2000). In nature *Synechococcus* photolyase genes are upregulated in midday when light intensity is at its peak (Mella-Flores *et al.*, 2012); however, ultraviolet light was not included as a treatment in this study, and accordingly photolyase proteins were not abundant in the proteomes as measured here (Tables S2–S8). However, all strains had three to five photolyase genes in their genomes (Table 1). Therefore, while *hli* and photolyase transcripts generally increase with irradiance in certain *Synechococcus* strains (Berg *et al.*, 2011; Mella-Flores *et al.*, 2012), the numbers of *hli* and photolyase genes in each strain do not appear to be correlated with growth response to irradiance level.

Oxidative stress is a challenge introduced by high light. Reactive oxygen species produced during photosynthesis increase under high light and cause damage to proteins, reducing photosynthetic efficiency. To understand how different strains of *Synechococcus* cope with reactive oxygen species generated during photosynthesis at high light, we compared the abundances of two classes of proteins that protect cells from oxidative stress. The first is the protein Psb28, which assists in PSII assembly, stabilization and repair following photoinhibition or heat stress (Hagman *et al.*, 1997; Shi *et al.*, 2000; Sakata *et al.*, 2013). The second class is a group of antioxidant proteins called superoxide dismutases (SODs), which scavenge

superoxide radicals generated during photosynthesis (Gupta *et al.*, 1993). SODs are a diverse group of metalloenzymes utilizing Fe/Mn, Cu/Zn or Ni cofactors. While *Prochlorococcus* uses Ni SOD exclusively (Dupont *et al.*, 2008; Saito *et al.*, 2014), *Synechococcus* strains can have all three types of SODs with any given strain having one or more type of SOD (e.g. see Fig. 7; Table S1). Cu/Zn and Fe/Mn SODs can contain either metal cofactor in the pair (e.g. Cu or Zn and Fe or Mn), though which metal an organism uses is not obvious from sequence alone (Aguirre *et al.*, 2013). Across nearly all strains, these oxidative stress response proteins were generally even or more abundant in higher light (Fig. 7), in keeping with greater oxygen production and oxidative stress during high light exposure. An exception is strain WH5701, where the abundance of both types of SODs declined with increasing irradiance. The reason for this unexpected response is not clear, but could involve alternative antioxidant pathways. Notably, SODs in strains that grew better in high light tended to have either manganese or iron cofactors, while strains that grew better in lower light tended to have copper/zinc or nickel cofactors (Fig. 7).

Acclimation under fluctuating light requires dynamic photoprotective responses, where cells must efficiently use or discard light energy in real time to avoid photodamage. Photophysiological metrics like fluorescence are useful in understanding these short-term responses. In this study, resilience was assessed by examining the photosynthetic electron transport rate (ETR) of cells under actinic light levels ranging up to  $1000 \mu\text{Em}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 8). While photosynthesis in all strains that grew well in higher light was resilient under this transient high light exposure regardless of growth irradiance, some of the strains that grew best under lower light were also surprisingly resilient. In particular, strain WH8102 coped well with high actinic light when grown under 40 or  $80 \mu\text{Em}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 8). This is consistent with prior observations of high photosynthetic efficiency in this strain, and could indicate alternative electron flow pathways are utilized to prevent photoinhibition (Bailey *et al.*, 2008; Mackey *et al.*, 2008; Grossman *et al.*, 2010).

Cyanobacteria utilize several reversible acclimation strategies to cope with rapid changes in irradiance. The OCP binds reversibly to the phycobilisome antenna in a blue-green light dependent manner, and dissipates light energy absorbed by the phycobilisome through fluorescence quenching (Kirilovsky and Kerfeld, 2012). The amount of quenching, and hence photoprotection, scales with abundance of the OCP (Wilson *et al.*, 2008). All *Synechococcus* strains in this study have the OCP except for strain WH8109 (Tables 1 and S1). Growth in light-limited environments would obviate the need for fluorescence quenching because all of the harvested light would be needed for photosynthesis, perhaps allowing this strain to

lose the gene without cost. Overall OCPs were rarely detected in the global proteomes (Table S1); however, they were detected in the proteomes of strain WH7805 and strain PCC7002 (Fig. S2). In both cases OCP abundance increased with increasing growth irradiance, consistent with its photoprotective role. The abundance of the OCP could be one factor that determines the ability of cells to maintain high growth rates and avoid photoinhibition during short-term, non-steady state exposure to very high light, such as during mixing, or immediately following stratification before cells are fully acclimated to the new light regime.

Another photoprotective mechanism that reduces the effective cross section ( $\sigma_{\text{blue}}$ ) of photosystem II (PSII) is the state transition, in which the PBS directs excitation energy between PSII and PSI depending on the redox poise of the plastoquinone pool (Bailey and Grossman, 2008). The process is thought to occur either via spillover of energy from PSII to PSI (Biggins and Bruce, 1989), or via movement of the PBS between the two photosystems (Mullineaux *et al.*, 1997). During a state transition the cell can decrease excitation energy funneled into PSII and channel it toward PSI. Because PSI pulls electrons out of the electron transport chain, this process allows the membrane to remain oxidized and prevents photodamage to PSII. State transitions were apparent in some of the strains tested here, as evidenced by increases in the  $\sigma_{\text{blue}}$  of PSII under dim actinic light relative to dark acclimated cells (Mullineaux, 1992; McConnell *et al.*, 2002) (Fig. 3). The increased cross section in dim light indicates closer PBS affiliation with PSII compared to dark acclimated cells. In the cyanobacteria, photosynthetic and respiratory electron transport occur within the same membrane in *Synechococcus*. Therefore, cells are expected to be in state II (excitation energy preferentially directed to PSI) in the dark due to the respiratory reduction of the plastoquinone pool. When cells transition from darkness to light, a state I transition occurs (excitation energy preferentially directed to PSII) as photosynthesis causes the membrane to re-oxidize, and affiliation of the PBS with PSII leads to an increase in the effective cross section of PSII ( $\sigma_{\text{blue}}$ ). This state transition response was less pronounced in strains that lack phycoerythrin (e.g. WH5701 and PCC7002), possibly because the phycobilisome is considerably smaller and absorbs less blue light if only phycocyanin is present in the PBS. While state transitions are a major mechanism by which *Synechococcus* acclimates to growth at different temperatures (Mackey *et al.*, 2013), they do not appear to become more or less frequent under different growth irradiances (Fig. 3). Rather, the major phycobilisome-based acclimation response to irradiance level appears to be regulation of the amount of pigment protein present in the cell. Indeed, all strains tested here acclimated to higher light by

synthesizing less of the proteins that comprise the phycobilisome (Table 1, Fig. 3).

#### A light response continuum


Based on the above analysis of proteome response, photoprotective genes and short-term acclimation traits, our findings suggest the light adaptations of *Synechococcus* fall along a continuum, where ecotypes range from low light optimized (LLO) to high light optimized (HLO). Here, we discuss the growth, photophysiology and associated proteomic responses (light harvesting, photosynthetic electron transport, carbon fixation and iron and nitrogen metabolism, Table 1) of each strain in terms of whether they align more closely toward the HLO or LLO ends of a continuum, while demonstrating that considerable diversity exists and many strains share traits from both ends of this continuum.

The strain with the most HLO characteristics examined here was strain WH7803, in keeping with prior observations that it can be cultured under very high irradiances (Kana and Glibert, 1987). Its growth rate did not saturate in the range of irradiances tested in this study, and continued to increase up to the highest irradiance of  $80 \mu\text{Em}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 3). This strain maintained high photosynthetic ETRs even when acclimated to low light (Fig. 8). Additionally, the majority of proteins involved in major cellular processes like photosynthetic electron transport, carbon fixation and iron and nitrogen metabolism were generally more abundant in cells acclimated to the highest light level (Fig. 4A, Table 1).


Strain WH5701 also appears suited to a consistently high light environment, showing high photosynthetic ETRs and high electron transport protein abundances when acclimated to the highest light treatment (Figs 8 and 4B). The protein response of this strain was similar to WH7803 except that only slightly more than half (54%) of the Fe-related proteins were more abundant in low light (Fig. 4B, Table 1), suggesting a more moderate response.

The growth rate of strain PCC7002 was the highest of all the strains and did not reach saturation within the range of light intensities we tested (Fig. 3). The photosynthetic ETR for PCC7002 were also the highest observed for all of the strains. But surprisingly, this strain shares many proteome features in common with strains on the LLO end of the light response continuum. For example, PCC7002 proteins in the light harvesting, photosynthetic electron transport, carbon fixation and nitrogen metabolism categories were more abundant under low light (Fig. 5A, Table 1). This strain was isolated from a benthic habitat (Table 1) and the LLO characteristics may reflect certain low light adaptations that would be beneficial in a benthic environment.

Strain WH7805 falls squarely in the middle of the light response continuum. Its maximum growth rate occurred at



**Fig. 3.** First column: Protein extracts of *Synechococcus* strains show changes in light harvesting pigments under different irradiances and the range of pigment types across strains. Second column: Spectral counts of phycobilisome proteins under different irradiances. These proteins are among the most abundant in *Synechococcus* proteome samples. Proteins are identified in the heatmaps in Figs 4–6 ('light harvesting' category shown in pink), and each different colour in the graph indicates a different protein designated in the corresponding heatmap of each strain in order of most to least abundant. Changes in abundance are not absolute and are moderately compressed by dynamic exclusion algorithms for recurring (abundant) peptides. Third column: Photosynthetic cross section of PSII ( $\sigma_{\text{blue}}$ ) under dark and dim ( $5 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) actinic light conditions for cultures grown under different irradiances. Error bars show standard error. Fourth column: Growth rates of *Synechococcus* strains over different irradiances. The asterisk indicates no sample. For WH8020 this was because the yield was too low to accurately measure  $\sigma_{\text{blue}}$  at  $80 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ .




**Fig. 4.** Relative abundance of selected proteins from the (A) *Synechococcus* WH7803 and (B) *Synechococcus* WH5701 proteomes, showing protein categories of light harvesting (pink), photosynthetic electron transport (green), carbon fixation (blue), Fe metabolism (orange) and N metabolism (black). Data are log transformed, centred on mean and normalized across treatments. Yellow and blue indicate higher and lower relative protein abundance respectively, relative to each protein's mean abundance across treatments. Proteins are clustered based on abundance across conditions and are ordered from top to bottom based on clustering.

20  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 3), and it would not grow consistently at 80  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Attempts were made to grow the culture at 80  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , including very slow acclimation to higher light over many generations. However, the culture would unexpectedly crash, even when it appeared healthy (high  $F_v/F_m$ , log phase growth rate) the day before. Nevertheless, our analysis indicates that WH7805 shares attributes of both HLO and LLO traits. The photosynthetic electron transport characteristics are more similar to HLO strains, where transport rates continue to increase under very high

actinic light (Fig. 8). Only in cells acclimated to the lowest light treatment (5  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) was a decline in ETR noted at the highest actinic irradiance. Further, while photosynthetic electron transport proteins were more abundant under low light (consistent with LLO strains), proteins involved with carbon concentration and fixation, Fe metabolism and N metabolism were all more abundant under higher light (consistent with HLO strains; Fig. 5B, Table 1). The combination of traits for coping in both dim and bright light may allow WH7805 to conserve resources by limiting





**Fig. 5.** Relative abundance of selected proteins from the (A) *Synechococcus* PCC7002 and (B) *Synechococcus* WH7805 proteomes, showing protein categories of light harvesting (pink), photosynthetic electron transport (green), carbon fixation (blue), Fe metabolism (orange) and N metabolism (black). Data are log transformed, centred on mean and normalized across treatments. Yellow and blue indicate higher and lower relative protein abundance respectively, relative to each protein's mean abundance across treatments. Proteins are clustered based on abundance across conditions and are ordered from top to bottom based on clustering.

unnecessary carbon and nutrient metabolism proteins when growth is slower in low light, while maintaining the flexibility to have high photosynthetic ETRs without incurring photoinhibition when transiently exposed to high light. These features could indicate that WH7805 is a strain that is particularly well adapted to transient conditions or rapid changes in its environment, such as during mixing.

Strains WH8102 and WH8020 fell toward the LLO end of the light response continuum and shared many similar traits. Both strains had highest growth rates at 40  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ .


Additionally, proteins involved in light harvesting, electron transport and carbon fixation were all more abundant under low light, whereas N metabolism proteins were upregulated under higher light in these strains. The only category that differed was Fe proteins, which were upregulated in higher light for WH8020, but not WH8102 (Fig. 6B and C; Table 1). Despite these similarities, the strains showed differences in their relative ETRs when exposed to different actinic irradiances (Fig. 8). When grown under low light at 5  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , strain WH8102 was unable to cope with the highest actinic




**Fig. 6.** Relative abundance of selected proteins from the (A) *Synechococcus* WH8109, (B) *Synechococcus* WH8020 and (C) *Synechococcus* WH8102 proteomes, showing protein categories of light harvesting (pink), photosynthetic electron transport (green), carbon fixation (blue), Fe metabolism (orange) and N metabolism (black). Data are log transformed, centred on mean and normalized across treatments. Yellow and blue indicate higher and lower relative protein abundance respectively, relative to each protein's mean abundance across treatments. Proteins are clustered based on abundance across conditions and are ordered from top to bottom based on clustering.

light levels; however, when grown under the highest irradiance of  $80 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , this strain showed a remarkably robust response to high actinic light consistent with prior studies (Bailey *et al.*, 2008; Grossman *et al.*, 2010; Mackey

*et al.*, 2013). In contrast, electron transport in strain WH8020 was relatively similar regardless of growth irradiance, decreasing with increasing actinic irradiance in excess of  $250 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  in all but the highest light treatment.



**Fig. 7.** Abundance of oxidative stress protection proteins, Psb28 and SODs. The asterisk indicates no sample. Units are in spectral counts. [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]



**Fig. 8.** Relative photosynthetic electron transport rate (ETR) of *Synechococcus* strains under exposure to 30 s of irradiances up to  $1000 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Relative ETR is the product of irradiance and  $\Phi\text{PSII}$ , and gives a qualitative metric of photosynthetic efficiency under short-term high and low light conditions (short-term acclimation). The rate is considered 'relative' because no corrections are made to account for absorbed light partitioning between PSI and PSII. Standard error bars based on three to six replicate light curve measurements are smaller than the marker labels. Legend shows growth irradiance. [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

Among the strains we tested, WH8109 falls furthest toward the LLO end of the light response continuum. Its growth rate saturated at  $\sim 20 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 3), and photosynthetic electron transport declined with increasing actinic irradiance even when cells were acclimated to higher light levels (Fig. 8). Additionally, proteins in all five categories were more abundant under lower light (Fig. 6A, Table 1).

The light response continuum reflects optimal light responses that are integrated over longer time periods (multiple generations), but does not necessarily imply that strains cannot exist outside of this optimal range. For example, the strains toward the HLO end of the continuum (e.g. PCC7002, WH7803, WH5701) still had competitive growth rates in low light ( $5 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ; Fig. 3), and some of the LLO strains could withstand short pulses of very high light when acclimated to high growth irradiance (Fig. 8). The ability of HLO strains to scale protein abundance with irradiance and growth rate (Fig. 2) could enable them to balance photosynthetic electron flow with downstream metabolic demands and allow them to bloom quickly when light increases, such as during stratification. Strains toward the LLO end of the continuum appear to employ the opposite strategy; investing maximum protein resources for growth under lower light conditions (Fig. 2), and in some cases 'burning up' when light levels increase, an occurrence that is observed in natural communities from low light environments (Gerla *et al.*, 2011). This could occur if the high light harvesting efficiency of LLO strains causes too many electrons to enter the photosynthetic electron transport chain, resulting in over-reduction of the photosynthetic membrane and the generation of free radicals. This increase in 'excitation pressure' could in turn lead to photo-inhibition if membrane proteins become damaged by free radicals (Adir *et al.*, 2003).

#### Light responses, phylogeny and biogeography

The results presented here raise the question of whether light responses bear any relation to the phylogenetic affiliation of strains, particularly given that spectral preferences do appear linked to phylogeny (Ahlgren and Rocap, 2006). In this study, we tested seven strains from different sub-clusters or clades as classified previously (Scanlan *et al.*, 2009) (Table 1). All LLO strains identified in this study were members of marine sub-cluster 5.1A, including WH8109



(clade I), WH8020 (clade II) and WH8102 (clade III). Clades I and II are commonly found in regions that may undergo deep mixing to 200–600 m (Post *et al.*, 2011). The apparent optimization of WH8109 and WH8020 for low light could indicate that they have specialized adaptations for the extremely low irradiances that would be encountered during very deep mixing, and could give them a competitive advantage over other cells that require higher irradiances to grow.

In contrast to the LLO strains, no representatives from sub-cluster 5.1A were classified toward the intermediate or HLO end of the light preference continuum. Notably, *Synechococcus* strains WH5701 and PCC7002 are the only two strains tested in this study classified outside of sub-cluster 5.1, which contains the majority of known marine *Synechococcus* strains (Scanlan *et al.*, 2009). To put this diversity into perspective, *Synechococcus* subcluster 5.1 is more closely related to marine *Prochlorococcus* than to either of these two *Synechococcus* strains. Specifically, strain WH5701 is in sub-cluster 5.2 and PCC7002 is an outgroup that does not fit into sub-clusters 5.1 or 5.2 (Scanlan *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, strain PCC7002 was originally identified as *Synechococcus* in 1961 based on morphology (e.g. it is a halotolerant, unicellular cyanobacterium with a phycobilisome) rather than based on gene sequence data, and may ultimately be reclassified into a different genus. The other HLO strain WH7803 is a member of sub-cluster 5.1B (clade V), and the intermediate strain WH7805 is a member of sub-cluster 5.1B (clade VI). Although this study's analysis is limited to only one strain per clade, these results suggest that there may be a phylogenetic relationship to light preference among *Synechococcus*, with marine strains in subcluster 5.1a (i.e. clades I–IV) being optimized for lower light, and strains in sub-clusters 5.1B, 5.2 and estuarine strains being optimized for higher light.

It is intriguing that both WH5701 and PCC7002 fell toward the HLO end of the light response continuum given that these strains are both classified as pigment type 1 (i.e. having phycobilisomes consisting of phycocyanin without phycoerythrin, Table 1). Tolerance to higher light in these strains could be related to morphology of their phycobilisomes. Phycobilisome rods can contain either phycocyanin (PC) alone (pigment type 1), or PC plus phycoerythrin (PE, pigment types 2 and 3) (Six *et al.*, 2007b). Pigment type 2 always binds the chromophores phycoerythrobilin (PEB; max absorption wavelength = 550 nm) and phycourobilin (PUB; max absorption wavelength = 495 nm) jointly. Pigment type 3 can either bind PEB exclusively, or PEB and PUB together (see Six *et al.*, 2007b for a thorough discussion of pigment types). Based on the ratio of PEB:PUB, strains can be further classified into sub-types 3a, 3b, 3c and 3d (Six *et al.*, 2007b). Strains belonging to pigment type 2 and 3 have larger antennae with a broader

range of wavelength absorption spectra compared to type 1. The tolerance of WH5701 and PCC7002 for high light is consistent with a strategy to avoid absorbing unnecessary light by having smaller antennae. In contrast, PE containing strains (types 2 and 3) necessarily have larger antennae capable of absorbing more wavelengths of light (Fig. 3). This is particularly true of pigment types with higher proportions of blue light-absorbing PUB (3c and 3d), such as WH8109, WH8102 and WH8020, all of which fell toward the LLO end of the light preference continuum in this study (Table 1). While these strains can acclimate to higher growth irradiance by synthesizing less phycobilisome proteins on a cellular basis (Figs 4–6, Table 1) they have inherently larger photosynthetic cross sections for blue light due to their higher PUB content (Fig. 3), thus with all other factors being equal, photosynthesis saturates at lower irradiances for these strains. In contrast, PC-rich type 1 strains have smaller photosynthetic cross sections for blue light harvesting, and so could experience comparatively less excitation pressure under higher light. This may allow them to avoid photoinhibition in brighter environments because they harvest less light. However, we note that *Synechococcus* with PE-containing antennae (types 2 and 3) are commonly found in high light environments like the Costa Rica Dome (Ahlgren *et al.*, 2014), and certain strains have been shown to tolerate extremely high light in culture (Kana and Glibert, 1987), hence the size and composition of the PBS is not the only factor that determines light responses. The ability to quench excitation energy, balance electron flow to avoid photoinhibition, and repair proteins after photodamage occurs likely together give rise to light response phenotypes.

Although closely genetically related to marine *Synechococcus*, the light responses of *Prochlorococcus* differ markedly. *Prochlorococcus* relies on membrane integral chlorophyll binding proteins to form an antenna around PSI, similar to the IsiA proteins in other cyanobacteria (Bibby *et al.*, 2001; 2003; Boekema *et al.*, 2001). To date only four marine *Synechococcus* strains have been found to retain *isiA* (Scanlan *et al.*, 2009), and none of the strains in this study have the gene. Moreover, niche partitioning in *Prochlorococcus* is strongly influenced by irradiance, and high light-adapted and low light-adapted ecotypes show distinct physiological and genetic traits that clearly distinguish the two groups (Rocap *et al.*, 2003). Compared to *Synechococcus*, the more restricted geographical range of *Prochlorococcus*, which is commonly found in permanently stratified waters (Zwirgmaier *et al.*, 2007; 2008) where light levels and nutrient availability are constant over time, may prompt *Prochlorococcus* to evolve to fill high and low light niches [although we note that *Prochlorococcus* is also found in seasonally mixed locations (DuRand *et al.*, 2001; Malmstrom *et al.*, 2010; Mackey *et al.*, 2011; Bryant *et al.*, 2016)]. Despite its global distribution, this study found no

strong link between latitude and light response for *Synechococcus* (Fig. 1, Table 1); rather, the patterns seem more closely related to phylogeny and pigment composition (Table 1). Nevertheless, with its broader distributions in both permanently stratified waters and areas of dynamic seasonal mixing, *Synechococcus* as a genus experiences variable light regimes and may benefit from retaining traits that allow each ecotype to survive over a broader range of irradiances, rather than diversifying into more specialized high and low light ecotypes.

*Synechococcus* seems to fall along a continuum of light responses ranging from LLO to HLO phenotypes. These responses appear to be linked to phylogeny and pigment composition, where members of marine sub-cluster 5.1a that have higher relative amounts of PUB tend to fall toward the LLO end of the spectrum. The global proteomes of all seven strains tested were highly responsive to light intensity, but the only strongly conserved feature was downregulation of phycobilisome proteins in higher light. The lack of a clear trend in light response with latitude suggests that other environmental factors, like nutrient demand and temperature preferences, exert equal or greater selective pressure on *Synechococcus* biogeography (Ahlgren and Rocap, 2006; Zwirgmaier *et al.*, 2007; 2008; Mackey *et al.*, 2013; Pittera *et al.*, 2014). However, the conserved set of photoacclimation mechanisms found in the genus (state transitions, Hlips, OCPs, etc.), of which each strain uses a different subset, indicates that common light response mechanisms are maintained within the genus overall, and are therefore evolutionarily valuable to the lineage.

## Experimental procedures

### Culture conditions

*Synechococcus* strains WH5701, WH7803, WH7805, WH8020, WH8102, WH8109 and PCC7002 were provided by the Waterbury and Mincer laboratories at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Strains were selected to include representatives from clades that were isolated from different latitudes along a roughly longitudinal transect in the Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 1B), and based on availability of sequenced genomes to facilitate proteomic analyses. Non-axenic cultures were maintained in dilute, semi-continuous batch culture in a temperature controlled growth chamber (23°C) under continuous white light provided by a combination of cool-white and grow light (Sylvania Ecologic) fluorescent bulbs. Media consisted of 75% coastal seawater from Martha's Vineyard Sound and 25% MilliQ water with SN nutrient amendments (Waterbury *et al.*, 1986) supplemented with vitamin 0.4 nM cyanocobalamin (vitamin B<sub>12</sub>).

Cultures were grown at 5, 10, 20, 40 and 80  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  for at least 10 generations in semi-continuous batch culture before mid-log phase sampling. These irradiance levels were measured using a quantum/radiometer/photometer (LI-COR Inc., model LI-185B). This range of irradiance levels was

selected to encompass conditions under which all of the strains could consistently grow, although we note that several of the strains included here are capable of growth in irradiances  $> 80 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (as discussed above). Doubling times were determined from raw fluorescence measurements using the equation  $F_t = F_i * 2^{(t/T)}$ , where  $F_t$  is the final fluorescence value,  $F_i$  is the initial fluorescence value,  $t$  is the time between initial and final measurements and  $T$  is the doubling time. Growth rate was calculated by multiplying this value by 0.7. For each strain and treatment, the growth rate was calculated using two time points from within the exponential phase of the curve prior to collection of the proteome sample, i.e. after the final subbing for these semi-continuous cultures. Growth rates were similar when calculated based on exponential phase calculations from prior continuous culturing of the cells (typically three to four serial transfers in time).

### Photosystem II fluorescence

Photosynthetic efficiency was measured on a FRe Fluorometer (Satlantic) with the blue single turnover flash duration extended to 200  $\mu\text{s}$  as described previously (Mackey *et al.*, 2015). The dark-adapted photosynthetic efficiency ( $F_v/F_m$ ), the photosynthetic efficiency at a given light level ( $\Phi\text{PSII}$ ) and the photosynthetic cross sectional area,  $\sigma_{\text{blue}}$ , were determined using a single turnover flash of blue excitation. See (Mackey *et al.*, 2008) for a mathematical description of these parameters. Curve fitting was done with the multiple turnover flash algorithm disabled. Three to six measurements were taken on each strain/treatment on different (typically sequential) days while cells remained in exponential growth phase, and the values were averaged. The value of  $\sigma_{\text{blue}}$  in units of Ångströms squared was calculated by multiplying the value in relative units by a calibration factor of 2.3. We report values of  $\sigma_{\text{blue}}$  to two significant digits to reflect calibration factor uncertainty.

Photosynthesis irradiance curves were collected at actinic background light levels of 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 83, 167, 250, 500 and 1000  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  following a 30 s acclimation period. Thirty seconds was determined to be sufficient for fluorescence to stabilize before the measurement was taken. Each curve was measured either on the same day or the day immediately preceding collection of the proteome sample. Relative PSII ETR, which is the product of irradiance and  $\Phi\text{PSII}$ , was plotted against irradiance to provide a qualitative diagnostic metric of photosynthetic efficiency under transient high and low light conditions (Fig. 8). The calculated ETR is considered 'relative' because no corrections have been made to account for the partitioning of absorbed light between PSI and PSII in these calculations. Standard error of the computed relative ETR data points were then calculated by multiplying each ETR point with its corresponding standard error values from  $\Phi\text{PSII}$ ; however, in all cases the error bars were smaller than the series markers in Fig. 8.

### Global proteomics

Proteome samples were collected on 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  Supor polyester-sulfone filters and stored frozen at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  until analysis. For each strain, one filter sample was collected at each light level.

Proteins were processed as described previously (Mackey *et al.*, 2015). Briefly, cell samples were thawed and rinsed from the filters in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate, split into two technical replicates, sonicated on ice 10 min and centrifuged. Proteins were precipitated overnight in 100% acetone at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ , then protein material was resuspended in 6 M urea with 0.1 mM ammonium bicarbonate, reduced with 10 mM dithiothreitol ( $56^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 450 rpm on a thermomixer for 1 h) and alkylated with 30 mM iodoacetamide ( $20^{\circ}\text{C}$  on bench for 1 h). Proteins were quantified using the Bradford Protein Assay Kit (BioRad) and digested with trypsin (Promega Trypsin Gold;  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 400 rpm on a thermomixer overnight) at an enzyme: protein ratio of 1:50. The tryptic peptides were concentrated by evaporation and resuspended in 2% (vol/vol) acetonitrile with 0.2% (vol/vol) formic acid. While deeper proteomes are possible with the use of detergent-based extractions and alternate chromatographic methods, we find this method to be reproducible and efficient.

Tryptic peptides were analysed on technical duplicate samples via chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) on a Q Exactive (QE) Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). A gradient of 0.1% (vol/vol) formic acid in water and 1.1% (vol/vol) formic acid in acetonitrile was used for the chromatography. Tandem mass spectrometry was performed on the top 15 ions, and ions with a mass to charge ratio from 400 to 2000 were monitored.

Peptides were identified using the reference genome for each strain with the SEQUEST algorithm within Proteome Discoverer (Thermo). Identification criteria included a protein identification probability of 99%, a peptide identification probability of 95% and identification of two or more peptides from the protein's sequence (Keller *et al.*, 2002). Normalized spectral counts for each protein were computed with Scaffold software (Version 4.3.2 Proteome Software), and measurements from the technical duplicates were averaged. Spectral counts refer to the total number of spectra identified with the mass spectrometer for a given protein within a proteome sample (Lundgren *et al.*, 2010). In Scaffold, the normalization method sums the unweighted spectral counts for all proteins within each sample, and then applies a small normalization correction to each sample's spectral count proteome so that the sum of spectral counts is equal across the sample set. The method is appropriate when similar amounts of protein are analysed between samples, as is the case in this study. To be included in our further detailed analysis and discussion, we applied a threshold of four normalized spectral counts summed across treatments in order to exclude rarer proteins detected less frequently.

The complete global proteome dataset meeting the criteria of four spectral counts across treatments for each strain is given in the Tables S2–S8. Proteins involved in light harvesting, photosynthetic electron transport, carbon fixation, iron metabolism and nitrogen metabolism were identified and sub-selected for comparison in Figs 4–6, Fig. 3 (column 2) and Table 1 by searching the proteomes for the following terms: photo, chloro, phyco, ribulose, carbon, carboxysome, iron, ferr, nitr, ammo, urea, cyanate and amino. Iron-containing electron transport chain proteins like PSI, PSII and cytochrome b6f are listed in the 'electron transport chain' category rather than the 'iron metabolism' category, although there is clearly overlap between these groups. The normalized spectral data for

proteins in these categories was imported into Cluster 3.0 (de Hoon *et al.*, 2004), log transformed, centred on mean and normalized across treatments. Proteins were clustered using the correlation (uncentred) similarity metric and centroid linkage options to generate heatmaps. To determine if proteins in these groups were up or downregulated in response to increasing irradiance, the spectral counts under the highest and lowest irradiance treatments were compared.

The global proteomes were analysed to determine the percent of all proteins that increased or decreased by a factor of 2 or more between the lowest and highest light treatment for each strain. First, the sum of all spectral counts was summed across treatments for each protein, and only proteins with counts above four were used in the analysis. The ratio of spectral counts in the low versus high light treatment was then calculated, and these ratios were sorted into bins of  $< 0.5$ ,  $0.5$ – $2.0$  and  $> 2.0$ . While specific statistical tests capable of detecting significant changes with greater sensitivity (e.g. Fisher's test) can be applied within each experiment, the use of twofold differences allowed a uniform approach to compare large scale differences across strains for the purposes of this study. The percentage of proteins in each bin was used to determine the fraction of the proteome that is most responsive to irradiance.

To facilitate analysis in this paper, we have compared cell responses under the highest and lowest growth irradiances tested here, but it is important to recognize that the success of each strain at these irradiances reflects their different optimal growth irradiances. Specifically, the highest irradiance tested ( $80 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) is sub-saturating for growth in the HLO strains, but above the optimal growth irradiance for the LLO strains. The comparison therefore provides insight into how each strain would respond under similar light conditions in the water column, rather than about optimal physiological conditions.

### Gene identification

Hlips, photolyases and OCPs were identified in the seven genomes using BLASTp. Known sequences were blasted against each genome to identify homologous proteins, and these sequences were further blasted against the genomes until no additional sequences were identified. Homology was checked by blasting the new sequences and checking that the best matches confirmed the homology.

### Acknowledgements

We thank A. Aicher and J. Waterbury for providing the *Synechococcus* strains. We thank R. Lovindeer and two anonymous reviewers for providing comments on the manuscript. K.R.M.M was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship in Biology.

### References

- Adir, N., Zer, H., Shochat, S., and Ohad, I. (2003) Photoinhibition – a historical perspective. *Photosynth Res* **76**: 343.
- Aguirre, J.D., Clark, H.M., McIlvin, M., Vazquez, C., Palmere, S.L., Grab, D.J., *et al.* (2013) A Manganese-rich environment supports superoxide dismutase activity in a Lyme disease pathogen, *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *J Biol Chem* **288**: 8468–8478.



- Ahlgren, N.A., and Rocap, G. (2006) Culture isolation and culture-independent clone libraries reveal new marine *Synechococcus* ecotypes with distinctive light and N physiologies. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 7193–7204.
- Ahlgren, N.A., Noble, A., Patton, A.P., Roache-Johnson, K., Jackson, L., Robinson, D., *et al.* (2014) The unique trace metal and mixed layer conditions of the Costa Rica upwelling dome support a distinct and dense community of *Synechococcus*. *Limnol Oceanogr* **59**: 2166.
- Bailey, S., and Grossman, A. (2008) Photoprotection in cyanobacteria: regulation of light harvesting†. *Photochem Photobiol* **84**: 1410–1420.
- Bailey, S., Melis, A., Mackey, K.R.M., Cardol, P., Finazzi, G., van Dijken, G., *et al.* (2008) Alternative photosynthetic electron flow to oxygen in marine *Synechococcus*. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1777**: 269–276.
- Bennett, A., and Bogorad, L. (1973) Complementary chromatic adaptation in a filamentous blue-green alga. *J Cell Biol* **58**: 419–435.
- Berg, G.M., Shrager, J., Dijken, G.V., Mills, M.M., Arrigo, K.R., and Grossman, A.R. (2011) Responses of *psbA*, *hli* and *ptox* genes to changes in irradiance in marine *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus*. *Aquat Microb Ecol* **65**: 1–14.
- Bibby, T.S., Nield, J., and Barber, J. (2001) Iron deficiency induces the formation of an antenna ring around trimeric photosystem I in cyanobacteria. *Nature* **412**: 743–745.
- Bibby, T.S., Mary, I., Nield, J., Partensky, F., and Barber, J. (2003) Low-light-adapted *Prochlorococcus* species possess specific antennae for each photosystem. *Nature* **424**: 1051–1054.
- Bidigare, R., Marra, J., Dickey, T., Iturriaga, R., Baker, K., Smith, R., *et al.* (1990) Evidence for phytoplankton succession and chromatic adaptation in the Sargasso Sea during spring 1985. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **60**: 113–122.
- Biggins, J., and Bruce, D. (1989) Regulation of excitation energy transfer in organisms containing phycobilins. *Photosynth Res* **20**: 1–34.
- Boekema, E.J., Hifney, A., Yakushevskaya, A.E., Piotrowski, M., Keegstra, W., Berry, S., *et al.* (2001) A giant chlorophyll-protein complex induced by iron deficiency in cyanobacteria. *Nature* **412**: 745–748.
- Bryant, J.A., Aylward, F.O., Eppley, J.M., Karl, D.M., Church, M.J., and DeLong, E.F. (2016) Wind and sunlight shape microbial diversity in surface waters of the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. *ISME J* **10**: 1308–1322.
- Campbell, D., Hurry, V., Clarke, A.K., Gustafsson, P., and Öquist, G. (1998) Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis of cyanobacterial photosynthesis and acclimation. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **62**: 667–683.
- Cohen-Bazire, G., and Bryant, D.A. (1982) *Phycobilisomes: composition and structure* [Algae, cyanobacteria]. *Bot Monogr* [Internet]. URL <http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US8255381>.
- Dupont, C.L., Neupane, K., Shearer, J., and Palenik, B. (2008) Diversity, function and evolution of genes coding for putative Ni-containing superoxide dismutases. *Environ Microbiol* **10**: 1831–1843.
- DuRand, M.D., Olson, R.J., and Chisholm, S.W. (2001) Phytoplankton population dynamics at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series station in the Sargasso Sea. *Deep Sea Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr* **48**: 1983–2003.
- Flombaum, P., Gallegos, J.L., Gordillo, R.A., Rincon, J., Zabala, L.L., Jiao, N., *et al.* (2013) Present and future global distributions of the marine cyanobacteria *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110**: 9824–9829.
- Funk, C., and Vermaas, W. (1999) A cyanobacterial gene family coding for single-helix proteins resembling part of the light-harvesting proteins from higher plants. *Biochemistry (Mosc)* **38**: 9397–9404.
- Gerla, D.J., Mooij, W.M., and Huisman, J. (2011) Photoinhibition and the assembly of light-limited phytoplankton communities. *Oikos* **120**: 359–368.
- Glover, H.E., Prézélin, B.B., Campbell, L., Campbell, M., and Garside, C. (1988) A nitrate-dependent *Synechococcus* bloom in surface Sargasso Sea water. *Nature* **331**: 161–163.
- Grossman, A.R., Mackey, K.R.M., and Bailey, S. (2010) A Perspective on photosynthesis in the oligotrophic oceans: hypotheses concerning alternate routes of electron flow. *J Phycol* **46**: 629–634.
- Gupta, A.S., Heinen, J.L., Holaday, A.S., Burke, J.J., and Allen, R.D. (1993) Increased resistance to oxidative stress in transgenic plants that overexpress chloroplastic Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **90**: 1629–1633.
- Hagman, Å., Shi, L.-X., Rintamäki, E., Andersson, B., and Schröder, W.P. (1997) The nuclear-encoded PsbW protein subunit of photosystem II undergoes light-induced proteolysis. *Biochemistry (Mosc)* **36**: 12666–12671.
- de Hoon, M.J.L., Imoto, S., Nolan, J., and Miyano, S. (2004) Open source clustering software. *Bioinformatics* **20**: 1453–1454.
- Hunter-Cevera, K.R., Post, A.F., Peacock, E.E., and Sosik, H.M. (2016) Diversity of *Synechococcus* at the Martha's Vineyard Coastal Observatory: insights from culture isolations, clone libraries, and flow cytometry. *Microb Ecol* **71**: 276–289.
- Kana, T., and Glibert, P. (1987) Effect of irradiances up to 2000  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  on marine *Synechococcus* WH7803—I. Growth, pigmentation, and cell composition. *Deep Sea Res Part A Oceanogr Res Pap* **34**: 497–495.
- Kana, T.M., Feiweil, N.L., and Flynn, L.C. (1992) Nitrogen starvation in marine *Synechococcus* strains: clonal differences in phycobiliprotein breakdown and energy coupling. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **88**: 75–75.
- Keller, A., Nesvizhskii, A.I., Kolker, E., and Aebersold, R. (2002) Empirical statistical model to estimate the accuracy of peptide identifications made by MS/MS and database search. *Anal Chem* **74**: 5383–5392.
- Kirilovsky, D., and Kerfeld, C.A. (2012) The orange carotenoid protein in photoprotection of photosystem II in cyanobacteria. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1817**: 158–166.
- Komenda, J., and Sobotka, R. (2016) Cyanobacterial high-light-inducible proteins — protectors of chlorophyll-protein synthesis and assembly. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1857**: 288–295. Mar
- Lindell, D., and Post, A.F. (1995) Ultraphytoplankton succession is triggered by deep winter mixing in the Gulf of Aqaba (Eilat), Red Sea. *Limnol Oceanogr* **40**: 1130–1141.
- Liu, H., Landry, M.R., Vault, D., and Campbell, L. (1999) *Prochlorococcus* growth rates in the central equatorial Pacific: an application of the *f*<sub>max</sub> approach. *J Geophys Res Oceans* **104**: 3391–3399.



- Lundgren, D.H., Hwang, S.-I., Wu, L., and Han, D.K. (2010) Role of spectral counting in quantitative proteomics. *Expert Rev Proteomics* **7**: 39–53.
- McConnell, M.D., Koop, R., Vasil'ev, S., and Bruce, D. (2002) Regulation of the distribution of chlorophyll and phycobilin-absorbed excitation energy in cyanobacteria. A structure-based model for the light state transition. *Plant Physiol* **130**: 1201–1212.
- MacIntyre, H.L., Kana, T.M., and Geider, R.J. (2000) The effect of water motion on short-term rates of photosynthesis by marine phytoplankton. *Trends Plant Sci* **5**: 12–17.
- Mackey, K.R.M., Paytan, A., Grossman, A.R., and Bailey, S. (2008) A photosynthetic strategy for coping in a high-light, low-nutrient environment. *Limnol Oceanogr* **53**: 900–913.
- Mackey, K.R.M., Rivlin, T., Grossman, A.R., Post, A.F., and Paytan, A. (2009) Picophytoplankton responses to changing nutrient and light regimes during a bloom. *Mar Biol* **156**: 1531–1546.
- Mackey, K.R.M., Bristow, L., Parks, D.R., Altabet, M.A., Post, A.F., and Paytan, A. (2011) The influence of light on nitrogen cycling and the primary nitrite maximum in a seasonally stratified sea. *Prog Oceanogr* **91**: 545–560.
- Mackey, K.R.M., Paytan, A., Caldeira, K., Grossman, A.R., Moran, D., McIlvin, M., *et al.* (2013) Effect of temperature on photosynthesis and growth in marine *Synechococcus* spp. *Plant Physiol* **163**: 815–829.
- Mackey, K.R.M., Post, A.F., McIlvin, M.R., Cutter, G.A., John, S.G., and Saito, M.A. (2015) Divergent responses of Atlantic coastal and oceanic *Synechococcus* to iron limitation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**: 9944–9949.
- Malmstrom, R.R., Coe, A., Kettler, G.C., Martiny, A.C., Frias-Lopez, J., Zinser, E.R., *et al.* (2010) Temporal dynamics of *Prochlorococcus* ecotypes in the Atlantic and Pacific oceans. *ISME J* **4**: 1252–1264.
- Marine *Synechococcus* (2017) *Roscoff culture collection* [Internet]. URL [http://roscoff-culture-collection.org/strains/shortlists/taxonomic-groups/marine-synechococcus?items\\_per\\_page=20&order=field\\_pigment\\_phenotype&sort=desc](http://roscoff-culture-collection.org/strains/shortlists/taxonomic-groups/marine-synechococcus?items_per_page=20&order=field_pigment_phenotype&sort=desc).
- Marshall, H.L., Geider, R.J., and Flynn, K.J. (2000) A mechanistic model of photoinhibition. *New Phytol* **145**: 347–359.
- Mattoo, A.K., Hoffman-Falk, H., Marder, J.B., and Edelman, M. (1984) Regulation of protein metabolism: coupling of photosynthetic electron transport to in vivo degradation of the rapidly metabolized 32-kilodalton protein of the chloroplast membranes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **81**: 1380–1384.
- Mazard, S., Ostrowski, M., Partensky, F., and Scanlan, D.J. (2012) Multi-locus sequence analysis, taxonomic resolution and biogeography of marine *Synechococcus*. *Environ Microbiol* **14**: 372–386.
- Mehler, A.H. (1951) Studies on reactions of illuminated chloroplasts: I. Mechanism of the reduction of oxygen and other hill reagents. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **33**: 65–77.
- Mella-Flores, D., Six, C., Ratin, M., Partensky, F., Boute, C., Le Corquillé, G., *et al.* (2012) *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* have evolved different adaptive mechanisms to cope with light and UV stress. *Front Microbiol* **3**: 285.
- Montané, M.-H., and Kloppstech, K. (2000) The family of light-harvesting-related proteins (LHCs, ELIPs, HLIPs): was the harvesting of light their primary function?. *Gene* **258**: 1–8.
- Moore, L.R., Post, A.F., Rocap, G., and Chisholm, S.W. (2002) Utilization of different nitrogen sources by the marine cyanobacteria *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*. *Limnol Oceanogr* **47**: 989–996.
- Mullineaux, C.W. (1992) Excitation energy transfer from phycobilisomes to photosystem I in a cyanobacterium. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1100**: 285–292.
- Mullineaux, C.W., Tobin, M.J., and Jones, G.R. (1997) Mobility of photosynthetic complexes in thylakoid membranes. *Nature* **390**: 421–424.
- Munekage, Y., Hashimoto, M., Miyake, C., Tomizawa, K.-I., Endo, T., Tasaka, M., *et al.* (2004) Cyclic electron flow around photosystem I is essential for photosynthesis. *Nature* **429**: 579–582.
- Muramatsu, M., and Hihara, Y. (2012) Acclimation to high-light conditions in cyanobacteria: from gene expression to physiological responses. *J Plant Res* **125**: 11–39.
- Ng, W.-O., Zentella, R., Wang, Y., Taylor, J.-S.A., and Pakrasi, H.B. (2000) *phrA*, the major photoreactivating factor in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC 6803 codes for a cyclobutane-pyrimidine-dimer-specific DNA photolyase. *Arch Microbiol* **173**: 412–417.
- Partensky, F., Hess, W.R., and Vaulot, D. (1999) *Prochlorococcus*, a marine photosynthetic prokaryote of global significance. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **63**: 106–127.
- Penno, S., Lindell, D., and Post, A.F. (2006) Diversity of *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* populations determined from DNA sequences of the N-regulatory gene *ntcA*. *Environ Microbiol* **8**: 1200–1211.
- Pittera, J., Humily, F., Thorel, M., Grulois, D., Garczarek, L., and Six, C. (2014) Connecting thermal physiology and latitudinal niche partitioning in marine *Synechococcus*. *ISME J* **8**: 1221–1236.
- Post, A.F., Penno, S., Zandbank, K., Paytan, A., Huse, S.M., and Welch, D.M. (2011) Long term seasonal dynamics of *Synechococcus* population structure in the Gulf of Aqaba, Northern Red Sea. *Front Microbiol* **2**: 131.
- Rocap, G., Larimer, F.W., Lamerdin, J., Malfatti, S., Chain, P., Ahlgren, N.A., *et al.* (2003) Genome divergence in two *Prochlorococcus* ecotypes reflects oceanic niche differentiation. *Nature* **424**: 1042–1047.
- Saito, M.A., Rocap, G., and Moffett, J.W. (2005) Production of cobalt binding ligands in a *Synechococcus* feature at the Costa Rica upwelling dome. *Limnol Oceanogr* **50**: 279–290.
- Saito, M.A., McIlvin, M.R., Moran, D.M., Goepfert, T.J., DiTullio, G.R., Post, A.F., *et al.* (2014) Multiple nutrient stresses at intersecting Pacific Ocean biomes detected by protein biomarkers. *Science* **345**: 1173–1177.
- Sakata, S., Mizusawa, N., Kubota-Kawai, H., Sakurai, I., and Wada, H. (2013) Psb28 is involved in recovery of photosystem II at high temperature in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1827**: 50–59.
- Scanlan, D.J., Ostrowski, M., Mazard, S., Dufresne, A., Garczarek, L., Hess, W.R., *et al.* (2009) Ecological Genomics of Marine Picocyanobacteria. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **73**: 249–299.

- Shi, L.-X., Lorković, Z.J., Oelmüller, R., and Schröder, W.P. (2000) The low molecular mass PsbW protein is involved in the stabilization of the dimeric photosystem II complex in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Biol Chem* **275**: 37945–37950.
- Six, C., Finkel, Z.V., Irwin, A.J., and Campbell, D.A. (2007a) Light variability illuminates niche-partitioning among marine picocyanobacteria. *PLoS One* **2**: e1341.
- Six, C., Thomas, J.-C., Garczarek, L., Ostrowski, M., Dufresne, A., Blot, N., *et al.* (2007b) Diversity and evolution of phycobilisomes in marine *Synechococcus* spp.: a comparative genomics study. *Genome Biol* **8**: R259.
- Sohm, J.A., Ahlgren, N.A., Thomson, Z.J., Williams, C., Moffett, J.W., Saito, M.A., *et al.* (2016) Co-occurring *Synechococcus* ecotypes occupy four major oceanic regimes defined by temperature, macronutrients and iron. *ISME J* **10**: 333–345.
- Waterbury, J.B., Watson, S.W., Valois, F.W., and Franks, D.G. (1986) Biological and ecological characterization of the marine unicellular cyanobacterium *Synechococcus*. *Can Bull Fish Aquat Sci* **214**: 120.
- Wilson, A., Punginelli, C., Gall, A., Bonetti, C., Alexandre, M., Routaboul, J.-M., *et al.* (2008) A photoactive carotenoid protein acting as light intensity sensor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **105**: 12075–12080.
- Xu, H., Vavilin, D., Funk, C., and Vermaas, W. (2002) Small Cab-like proteins regulating tetrapyrrole biosynthesis in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. pcc 6803. *Plant Mol Biol* **49**: 149–160.
- Zwirgmaier, K., Heywood, J.L., Chamberlain, K., Woodward, E.M.S., Zubkov, M.V., and Scanlan, D.J. (2007) Basin-scale distribution patterns of picocyanobacterial lineages in the Atlantic Ocean. *Environ Microbiol* **9**: 1278–1290.
- Zwirgmaier, K., Jardillier, L., Ostrowski, M., Mazard, S., Garczarek, L., Vaulot, D., *et al.* (2008) Global phylogeography of marine *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* reveals

a distinct partitioning of lineages among oceanic biomes. *Environ Microbiol* **10**: 147–161.

### Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site:

**Table S1.** Amino acid sequences of Hlip, photolyase, OCP, and SOD proteins identified in the *Synechococcus* strains.

**Table S2.** Global proteome of *Synechococcus* WH5701.

**Table S3.** Global proteome of *Synechococcus* WH7803.

**Table S4.** Global proteome of *Synechococcus* PCC7002.

**Table S5.** Global proteome of *Synechococcus* WH7805.

**Table S6.** Global proteome of *Synechococcus* WH8020.

**Table S7.** Global proteome of *Synechococcus* WH8102.

**Table S8.** Global proteome of *Synechococcus* WH8109.

**Table S9.** Growth rates ( $\text{d}^{-1}$ ) of *Synechococcus* isolates at different irradiances.

**Table S10.** Protein identification and proteome recovery.

**Fig. S1.** Percent of the global proteome that changes in response to irradiance. Data are based on the ratio of spectral counts for the lowest: highest irradiance treatments ( $80 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for all strains except WH7805, for which  $40 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  was the highest light treatment at which the cells would grow.) (A) Ratios less than 0.5 (more abundant in high light) and more than 2.0 (more abundant in low light) are plotted in red, all others are plotted in black. (B) Fraction of global proteome for each strain that was higher, lower or showed less than a twofold change between high and low irradiances. Labels indicate the number of proteins in the category followed by the percentage.

**Fig. S2.** Abundance of the orange carotenoid protein, OCP, in strains WH7805 and PCC7002. \* indicates no sample.